

Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna microbiome

Elsa Gadoin¹, Christelle Desnues², Thierry Bouvier¹, Emmanuelle Roque D'orbecastel¹, Jean-Christophe Auguet¹, Sandrine Crochemore¹, Antoinette Adingra³, Yvan Bettarel¹*

¹MARBEC, Marine Biodiversity, Exploitation and Conservation, Université Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, 093 Place Eugène Bataillon 34090, Montpellier, France

²Campus Technologique et Scientifique de Luminy, 163 avenue de Luminy - Bat. Méditerranée, 13288 Marseille, France

³Centre de Recherche Océanologiques (CRO)- 29 rue des pêcheurs, Zone 3, Treichville, BP V 18 00225 Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

*Corresponding author: MARBEC, Marine Biodiversity, Exploitation and Conservation, Université Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, 093 Place Eugène Bataillon 34090, Montpellier, France. Tel: +33607189557; E-mail: yvan.bettarel@ird.fr

Editor: Julie Olson

Abstract

Like other seafood products, tuna is highly perishable and sensitive to microbial spoilage. Its consumption, whether fresh or canned, can lead to severe food poisoning due to the activity of specific microorganisms, including histamine-producing bacteria. Yet, many grey areas persist regarding their ecology, conditions of emergence, and proliferation in fish. In this study, we used 16S rRNA barcoding to investigate postmortem changes in the bacteriome of fresh and brine-frozen yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), until late stages of decomposition (i.e. 120 h). The results revealed that despite standard refrigeration storage conditions (i.e. 4°C), a diverse and complex spoilage bacteriome developed in the gut and liver. The relative abundance of spoilage bacterial taxa increased rapidly in both organs, representing 82% of the bacterial communities in fresh yellowfin tuna, and less than 30% in brine-frozen tuna. *Photobacterium* was identified as one of the dominant bacterial genera, and its temporal dynamics were positively correlated with histamine concentration in both gut and liver samples, which ultimately exceeded the recommended sanitary threshold of 50 ppm in edible parts of tuna. The results from this study show that the sanitary risks associated with the consumption of this widely eaten fish are strongly influenced by postcapture storage conditions.

Keywords: fish, histamine, *Photobacterium*, spoilage microorganisms, yellowfin

Introduction

Like other living organisms, fish live in close association with a diverse assemblage of microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, archaea, and microeukaryotes, which constitute their microbiome. Increasing attention has been paid to the fish microbiome in recent years, and we now know that it ensures a number of essential functions for the health and fitness of the host (Egerton et al. 2018, Sehnal et al. 2021). It has also been shown to be highly heterogeneous throughout the body, with specific microbial signatures in different fish organs, including the gut, gills, skin, liver, and so on (Apprill 2017, Egerton et al. 2018, Gadoin et al. 2021, Ross et al. 2019). Numerous studies have reported that the composition of the fish microbiome depends on various factors, such as species (Chiarello et al. 2015, 2018, Givens et al. 2015, Larsen et al. 2013), stage of individual development (Hansen and Olafsen 1999), sex (Dhanasiri et al. 2011), diet (Cordero et al. 2015, Parata et al. 2019), geographical location (Chiarello et al. 2019, Xavier et al. 2020), or captive state (Dhanasiri et al. 2011, Parata et al. 2019). However, little is known about the evolution of this microbiome in different organs after the death of the fish, which may influence its quality before consumption. After a fish dies, numerous physical and chemical alterations take place (i.e. decrease in pH and cellular lysis), inducing taxonomic and functional shifts in the bacterial community initially present in the organism (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Duarte et al. 2020, Gram and Huss 1996).

In the last three decades, numerous studies have analyzed the diversity and activity of spoilage microorganisms in many seafood products, mainly using a culture-based approach (re-

viewed in Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Gram and Huss 1996, Gram and Dalgaard 2002). The results of these studies have identified microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas*, *Psychrobacter*, *Lactobacillus*, and other lactic acid bacteria (LAB), *Shewanella*, *Photobacterium*, and *Aeromonas* as specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) due to their ability to produce metabolites and off-odours/flavours in seafood flesh (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Gram and Dalgaard 2002, Parlapani 2021). Such microorganisms produce specific metabolites (trimethylamine oxide, ammonia, biogenic amines, organic acids, acetate, and sulphur) that lead to organoleptic rejection of the food product (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Gram and Dalgaard 2002). The levels of these SSOs in the host organism are mainly dependent on fish evisceration procedures and on storage conditions (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019, Indergård et al. 2014, Odeyemi et al. 2018, Zhuang et al. 2021), but can also vary depending on the fish species (Reynisson et al. 2010, Parlapani et al. 2013, 2018a), geographical location (Parlapani et al. 2018b), and the composition of the initial microbiome (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Gram and Dalgaard 2002, Parlapani 2021). Preservation methods such as chilling, freezing, and reduced oxygen are all known to delay bacterial growth and thus extend shelf-life (Dawson et al. 2018, Ghaly 2010, Sivertsvik et al. 2002).

To date, the majority of studies on the spoilage microbiome in fish have been conducted on flesh (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019, Chytiri et al. 2004, Eliasson et al. 2019, Kuuliala et al. 2018, Parlapani et al. 2018a, Syropoulou et al. 2021, Taliadourou et al. 2003, Wang et al. 2017, Zotta et al. 2019), while viscera such as the gut and liver have received less attention. Yet, the latter are recog-

Received: March 15, 2022. Revised: September 3, 2022. Accepted: September 15, 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

nized as important microbial reservoirs: the digestive tract of fish is known to host specific bacterial taxa that play key roles in the digestion, immunity, and fitness of the host (Egerton et al. 2018, Ghanbari et al. 2015). More recently, diverse microbial communities have also been discovered in the liver of several fish species, including tuna, mullet, sardinella, and Randall's threadfin bream (Gadoin et al. 2022, Meron et al. 2020), showing the importance of including this organ in microbiome studies on marine organisms. As is the case with fish flesh, enteric and hepatic bacterial communities are likely to evolve rapidly on the death of the fish, but these mechanisms remain poorly understood to date. Although the gut and liver are generally not consumed, it remains important to study their microbiological development, as these organs could contaminate others (in particular the flesh) during the multiple stages of handling from fish capture to consumption.

Of the main fish species consumed worldwide, tuna show one of the highest risks of food poisoning (Hungerford 2010, Tortorella et al. 2014). From a microbiological perspective, tuna, like other members of the Scombridae family, are an interesting study model, as the consumption of these species can lead to histamine poisoning (Hungerford 2010, 2021). Histamine is produced when specific spoilage-associated bacteria decarboxylate free histidine, an amino acid present in high concentrations in Scombridae (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2015, Gram and Dalgaard 2002, Jørgensen et al. 2000). It has been clearly established that storage temperature is a major factor influencing the production of histamine by these histamine-producing bacteria (HPB) (Economou et al. 2007, Guizani et al. 2005, Hungerford 2021, Mahusain et al. 2017, Silva et al. 1998). Yet, while these HPB have been identified and the production mechanisms of this biogenic amine are relatively well-known, their ecology and development within the postmortem microbiome of tuna remain poorly documented, especially in digestive organs such as the liver or gut (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2016, Gadoin et al. 2022).

In this study, we chose to conduct our investigations on a particular species—the yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*)—which is found in tropical waters worldwide and is the second most consumed tuna species in the world (FAO 2020). Our objective was to understand how the bacteriome of this key species evolves after fish capture/death by examining two major bacterial reservoirs: the gut and the liver. We used a metabarcoding approach to depict the dynamics of the whole bacterial community as well as the emergence of more specific spoilage bacteria and HPB. The results are discussed in the light of fish conditioning processes by comparing the development of the bacteriome in fresh and brine-frozen tuna captured by artisanal and industrial techniques respectively.

Material and methods

Sampling

The yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*) in our study were captured using two different fishing techniques and postcapture storage conditions: (1) artisanal fishing with immediate storage on ice of fresh individuals, and (2) industrial fishing followed by immediate brine-freezing treatment. In the first case, 12 individual yellowfin were captured around fish-aggregating devices located in the Gulf of Guinea (Ivory Coast, N04° 55' 00', W03° 42' 19.97") on 20–21 November 2019. The capture and euthanasia of the fish were performed by professional fishermen. A total of three tuna were dissected on board immediately after their capture (see 'Experimental design'), while the remaining nine individuals were im-




Figure 1. Experimental design to study the postmortem microbiome of yellowfin tuna stored at 4°C. Gut and liver samples were collected in triplicate at the beginning of the experiment (T_{0h}) and after 48, 96, and 120 h, on fresh (A) and brine-frozen (B) individuals.

dividually placed in plastic bags and kept on ice until they reached the laboratory, less than 5 h after death. The mean fork length of the individuals was 49.5 cm (min 45.7 cm—max 52.3 cm), and the average weight was 2.1 kg (min 1.7 kg—max 2.6 kg).

In the second case, 12 individual yellowfin were collected at the Abidjan tuna port (Ivory Coast) by the Exploited Tropical Pelagic Ecosystem Observatory (IRD, Ob7, certified ISO 9001:2015) within the framework of multiannual European fishery data collection (DCF, financed by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund, Article 77). All individuals were caught by purse seine vessels between May and December 2019 in the Eastern Atlantic Ocean (Gulf of Guinea and off the coast of Senegal) and immediately chilled in brine to lower their temperature to around -15°C . The fish remained frozen in the tanks until landing in the Port of Abidjan and were then thawed at 4°C in the laboratory, 24 h before the beginning of the experiment (Fig. 1). The mean fork length of these brine-frozen individuals was 63.4 cm (min 58.0 cm—max 70.0 cm), and the average weight was 4.4 kg (min 3.1 kg—max 5.9 kg).

Experimental design

From each sample (fresh and brine-frozen), a batch of three yellowfin individuals was dissected at the beginning of the experiment (T_{0h}) to analyze their liver and gut microbiota, as well as the histamine concentration (see sampling procedure below; Fig. 1). For brine-frozen tuna, T_{0h} corresponded to 24 h after thawing at 4°C , which is considered the standard temperature for home storage. For fresh tuna, T_{0h} corresponded to the time of death of the fish since they were dissected directly onboard after their capture. The nine remaining fish in each batch were kept at 4°C in temperature-controlled refrigerators. Every 48 h until the end of the 120-h experiment (i.e. T_{120h}), three individuals from each batch were randomly selected to sample their hepatic and intestinal microbiota (Fig. 1).

Sampling the gut and liver microbiota

Gut

The dissection of the tuna involved extracting the gastrointestinal tract after cutting from below the stomach to the rectum using sterile tools. Each gut was opened, squeezed, and its inner surface entirely rubbed to expel the contents (minimum volume of 5 mL) on a sterile surface. The contents were homogenized before sampling (Gadoin et al. 2021).

Liver

A 2 × 0.2 × 2 cm (L × W × H) piece was trimmed from the right lobe of each tuna liver using sterile tools. Liver samples were rinsed with distilled water filtered through a 0.2 µm filter to avoid any contamination from other internal organs or fluids.

All the gut and liver samples were placed in 5 mL sterile cryovials, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C in the laboratory until the extraction of bacterial nucleic acid.

Bacterial DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

The bacterial DNA was extracted from 250 ± 0.5 mg of the gut ($n = 24$) and liver ($n = 24$) samples. All extractions were performed with the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen®, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA quality and quantity were assessed by spectrophotometry (NanoDrop®, Wilmington, DE, USA). Blank extractions were performed in duplicate in order to identify potential contaminants within the reagents. The V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using universal bacterial primers modified for Illumina sequencing: 343F (5'-ACGGRAGGCAGCAG; Klindworth et al. 2013) and 784R (5'-TACCAGGTATCTAATCCT; Andersson et al. 2008). The reaction mixture consisted of 12.5 µL of 2X Phusion Mix (New England Biolabs®, Ipswich, MA, USA), 1 µL of each primer at 10 µM (Eurofin®, Luxembourg), 10 ng of DNA template, and enough molecular-grade H₂O (Qiagen®) to reach a final volume of 25 µL. All samples were amplified in triplicate to avoid PCR bias in the taxonomic diversity of the community (Perreault et al. 2007). Negative controls to check for the contamination of the PCR reactions were performed and blank extractions were also amplified ($n = 2$). For all samples and controls, the success of PCR amplification was confirmed on 2% agarose gel in TAE buffer using a 100 bp DNA ladder. Successfully amplified samples ($n = 50$) were sequenced on the Illumina platform using 2 × 250 bp MiSeq chemistry (accession number GenBank: SUB11887845, BioProject THE MOME, PRJNA674773).

Bacterial sequence processing and analysis

A total of 16 277 785 reads were obtained. Raw reads were processed with RStudio (R version 3.5.3) using the DADA2 package (v1.10.1; Callahan et al. 2016) following the authors' tutorial (<https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/tutorial.html>). The quality of forward and reverse reads was analyzed before removing adaptors and primers based on their length. Using the DADA2 tutorial with default parameters, reads were then filtered, trimmed, and merged into 8312 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Chimaeras were removed, and sequences were aligned to the SILVA 138 database to access their taxonomy (Quast et al. 2013; <https://www.arb-silva.de/>). Analyses were performed on a random subsample of 2337 sequences per sample, corresponding to the sample with the smallest number of sequences after trimming and quality processing. Using the *phyloseq* package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013), final taxonomic and ASV tables were linked to sample metadata (including biological compartment, sampling time, and preservation conditions). The relative abundance of ASVs in each sample was assessed by *phyloseq*, and ASVs assigned to nonprokaryotes, archaea, chloroplasts, and mitochondria were removed, as well as the ASVs found in the negative sequencing controls. Using the *phyloseq* and *ggplot2* packages, the composition and diversity of bacterial communities were then represented at the class level, based on the relative abundance of ASVs in each sample. Among the different ASVs detected in the gut and liver of

tuna, those corresponding to known spoilage bacterial genera in seafood products were listed (see Table 1). A list of putative HPB genera was also established from the literature, and their presence in our samples was assessed by comparing these to our taxonomy table.

Histamine concentration

In all the gut and liver samples, histamine concentration was assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) using the Veratox® kit for tuna histamine (Neogen®, Lansing, MI, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were suspended in distilled water, filtered, and diluted 10x prior to the ELISA tests. Assays were performed under sterile conditions and the optical density was measured at 650 nm using a TECAN Infinite M200 Pro (Tecan®, Männedorf, Switzerland). The optical densities of the six standards available in the kit allowed us to trace the standard curve against which the optical density of a sample was plotted to calculate its histamine concentration in parts per million (ppm).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with RStudio. For both gut and liver samples, the variability in the bacteria community structure between the three individuals in a batch (see Fig. 1) was tested using PERMANOVAs with 999 permutations on the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix, using the 'adonis' function of the *vegan* package (Dixon 2003). Since no significant interfish variability could be detected in any of the batches (PERMANOVA, P -value > 0.05), the mean relative abundance of each ASV was calculated from each triplicate in order to analyze the effect of storage conditions, for each organ, in both fresh and frozen samples and for all sampling occasions. The effect of time and postcatch storage conditions on the composition of hepatic and intestinal bacterial communities was determined by single-factor and multiple-factor PERMANOVAs. Correlations between histamine concentration and the relative abundance of potential HPB were evaluated using a Spearman correlation test performed in RStudio.

Results

Short-term dynamics of the tuna bacteriome

The results revealed that the composition of the tuna bacteriome changed significantly over time in both the gut and liver (Table 2).

The gut bacteriome

In fresh tuna, the initial enteric bacteriome was comprised of numerous taxa that included the genera *Cutibacterium*, *Enhydrobacter*, *BD1-7 clade*, and *Neorickettsia*, as well as several known spoilage-associated bacteria genera such as *Photobacterium*, *Shewanella*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Vibrio* (Table 1). Over the 120-h period, the abundance of *Photobacterium* then rapidly increased to reach almost 90% of the total abundance of bacteria, while most of the other genera decreased (Fig. 2).

In brine-frozen yellowfin, significant changes in the composition of the gut bacteriome were also observed during the experiment (Fig. 2). In addition, in these fish, the presence of spoilage bacteria that were not found in fresh tuna were identified (e.g. *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Psychrobacter*, *Psychrilyobacter*, and *Proteus*). The occurrence of certain taxa such as *Psychrobacter*, *Lactococcus*, and *Shewanella* increased throughout the experiment. At T_{96h}, *Photobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *BD1-7 clade*, and *Mycoplasma* were the most abundant bacterial genera, but taxa with a relative abundance of less than 2% represented more than 25% of the commu-

Table 1. List of bacterial genera detected in the tuna gut and liver samples, and reported as spoilage taxa in seafood products.

Bacterial genera	Marine species/Seafood product	References
<i>Aeromonas</i> spp.	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmon salar</i>) Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) Sea bass (<i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>) Sea salmon (<i>Pseudoperca semifasciata</i>)	Jakobsen et al. (2020) Beaz-Hidalgo et al. (2015) Carrascosa et al. (2014), Parlapani et al. (2013) Hozbor et al. (2006)
<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	Cold-smoked salmon Marinated and smoked herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>)	Olofsson et al. (2007) Gancel et al. (1997), Lyhs et al. (2001)
<i>Lactococcus</i> spp.	'Gravad' rainbow trout Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) Grass carp (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idellus</i>)	Lyhs et al. (2002) Li et al. (2018) Zhang et al. (2019)
<i>Photobacterium</i> spp.	Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmon salar</i>)	Hovda et al. (2007), Kuuliala et al. (2018) Emborg et al. (2002), Fogarty et al. (2019), Jääskeläinen et al. (2019), Macé et al. (2012)
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	Garfish (<i>Belone belone</i>) Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) Sea bream (<i>Sparus aurata</i>) Sardine (<i>Sardina pilchardus</i>) Spoiled fish (mackerel, sardine, anchovy, and rainbow trout) Canned tuna	Dalgaard et al. (2006) Reynisson et al. (2010) Parlapani and Bozaris (2016) Houicher et al. (2013) Yazgan et al. (2019)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	Yellow croaker (<i>Pseudosciaena crocea</i>) Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmon salar</i>) Gilt-head sea bream (<i>Sparus aurata</i>)	Ahmed (2019) Quanyou et al. (2018) Hovda et al. (2007) Xie et al. (2018) Parlapani et al. (2013), Tryfinopoulou et al. (2002)
<i>Psychrobacter</i> spp.	Norway lobster (<i>Nephrops norvegicus</i>) Sea bass (<i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>) Yellow croaker (<i>Pseudosciaena crocea</i>) Yellowfin tuna (<i>T. albacares</i>) Tilapia fillets (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) Brown shrimp (<i>Crangon crangon</i>) Salt-cured cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) Norway lobster (<i>Nephrops norvegicus</i>) Cuttlefish (<i>Sepia officinalis</i>) Hake fillet (<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>) Deepwater rose shrimp (<i>Parapenaeus longirostris</i>)	Bekaert et al. (2015) Parlapani et al. (2015) Ge et al. (2017) Jääskeläinen et al. (2019) Duan et al. (2018) Broekaert et al. (2013) Bjørkevoll et al. (2003) Bekaert et al. (2015) Parlapani et al. (2018a) Antunes-Rohling et al. (2019) Parlapani et al. (2020)
<i>Psychrilyobacter</i> spp.	Mandarin fish (<i>Siniperca chuatsi</i>) Pacific oyster (<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>)	Wang et al. (2021) Fernandez-Piquer et al. (2012)
<i>Shewanella</i> spp.	Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) Sea bream (<i>Sparus aurata</i>) Atlantic horse mackerel (<i>Trachurus trachurus</i>) Sea bass (<i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>) Tilapia fillets (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) Sea salmon (<i>Pseudoperca semifasciata</i>) Hake (<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>) Yellow croaker (<i>Pseudosciaena crocea</i>) Mussels	Beaz-Hidalgo et al. (2015) Tryfinopoulou et al. (2007) Alfaro and Hernandez (2013) Carrascosa et al. (2014), Parlapani et al. (2018b) Duan et al. (2018) Hozbor et al. (2006) López-Caballero et al. (2001) Ge et al. (2017) Odeyemi et al. (2018)
<i>Vibrio</i> spp.	Pacific oyster (<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>) Blue crab (<i>Callinectes sapidus</i>) Hake fillet (<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>) Tropical shrimp (<i>Penaeus vannamei</i>)	Madigan et al. (2014) Parlapani et al. (2019) Antunes-Rohling et al. (2019) Macé et al. (2014)

Table 2. Results of permutational ANOVAS (PERMANOVA, 999 permutations) performed on Bray–Curtis dissimilarities matrices to test the variation of bacterial community composition with time and postcapture preservation conditions in gut and liver samples. Bold values indicate a significant effect of the tested factor ($P < 0.05$).

	Community dissimilarity					
	Time			Postmortem preservation		
	P-value	r ²	df	P-value	r ²	df
Gut	0.001	0.21	2	0.255	0.05	1
Liver	0.023	0.13	2	0.003	0.09	1




Figure 2. Temporal variation in the relative abundance of the main bacterial genera in the gut and liver samples of fresh and brine-frozen yellowfin tuna. The size of the dot is proportional to the relative abundance of each bacterial genus from T_{0h} to T_{120h} . Genera identified as spoilage organisms (see Table 1) are coloured in red. Arrows represent the overall development of each bacterial genus during the experiment. Bacterial genera with a relative abundance inferior to 2% were grouped and designated as < 2% abund.

nity. Their proportion increased at T_{120h} , when the spoilage genera *Shewanella*, *Psychrobacter*, *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Photobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Psychrilyobacter* were detected and together represented 22.6% of the bacterial community.

The liver bacteriome

The composition of the bacterial community in the liver was highly diverse and was significantly different from that of the gut (Fig. 2, Permanova, $P = 0.003$). At T_{0h} , the bacteriome in fresh tuna was mostly comprised of *Enhydrobacter*, *Micrococcus*, *Neorickettsia*, and *Massilia*. In contrast with gut samples, the liver of fresh

yellowfin initially hosted few spoilage bacteria, but these proliferated rapidly over time. The only spoilage bacteria genus observed in liver samples at the beginning of the experiment was *Pseudomonas*, but at T_{48h} the relative abundance of other genera such as *Photobacterium*, *Shewanella*, *Psychrobacter*, and *Vibrio* began to increase. By T_{96h} , spoilage bacteria were dominant within the liver bacteriome, representing 76% of the bacterial community. They remained the major component of the liver bacteria until the end of the experiment, when other genera such as *Salignibacter*, *Sporosarcina*, *Enhydrobacter*, and *Cutibacterium* were also detected.

The treatment prior to storage greatly impacted the composition of the bacteriome in this organ. The liver-associated bacteria in brine-frozen tuna evolved in a different way than in fresh tuna (Table 1 and Fig. 2). For example, the genus *Photobacterium*, which was highly dominant in the liver bacteriome of fresh fish, was much less abundant in brine-frozen fish. Generally, although the relative abundance of spoilage bacteria increased over time, their occurrence remained lower in brine-frozen than in fresh samples. At the beginning of the experiment, hepatic bacterial communities were composed of *Enhydrobacter*, *Cutibacterium*, *Brachybacterium*, *Macrococcus*, *Halomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Methylobacterium*, as well as two main genera (*Photobacterium* and *Pseudomonas*), and potential pathogens such as *Staphylococcus* and *Corynebacterium*. At the end of the experiment (T_{120h}), the liver bacteriome hosted several other spoilage taxa including *Proteus*, *Psychrobacter*, *Photobacterium*, *Shewanella*, and *Psychrilyobacter*, which together represented 29% of the bacterial community.

Diversity of HPB and histamine concentrations

In general, the relative abundance of HPB was much higher in fresh than in brine-frozen tuna. *Photobacterium* ASVs were generally dominant in gut samples, while other HPB genera (*Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*) were also present in the liver in equivalent proportions (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the genus *Proteus* was only detected at the late stage of fish decomposition (T_{120h}) and exclusively in brine-frozen samples.

In fresh yellowfin, the temporal dynamics of *Photobacterium* ASVs were significantly correlated with histamine concentration in both gut and liver samples (Pearson, $P < 0.05$). Other potential HPB genera such as *Pseudomonas*, *Vibrio*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Enterobacter* were also detected, but at low levels (Fig. 3).

Fresh and brine-frozen tuna exhibited contrasting patterns of histamine concentration. In fresh fish, histamine concentration increased abruptly after T_{48h} to reach a maximum at T_{96h} in the gut (mean = 676 ppm) and at T_{120h} in the liver (mean = 59 ppm), thus exceeding the 50 ppm sanitary threshold established by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2021), but which concerns only the edible parts (i.e. the flesh) of the fish (Fig. 3). Conversely, in brine-frozen fish, histamine concentrations remained below that threshold throughout the experiment.

Discussion

Modifications in microbiome composition following an animal's death are normal phenomena resulting from physical and chemical changes, as well as the loss of immune response (Benbow et al. 2020). In fish, however, the evolution of the postmortem bacteriome over time has been poorly studied. In this study, we sought to begin to address this gap by exploring the tuna spoilage bacteriome and the dynamics of bacterial communities in two major bacterial reservoirs: the gut and the liver. We compared the influence of postcapture storage conditions (fresh or brine-frozen) on the development of these spoilage bacteria.

Bacterial diversity within the spoilage bacteriome

As expected, the relative abundance of spoilage-associated microorganisms (see Table 1) increased significantly in the gut and liver over the duration of the experiment. This trend was particularly marked in fresh tuna. In both organs, we observed an increase in relative abundance of *Psychrobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Proteus*, *Aeromonas*, *Lactobacillus*, *Shewanella*, and *Photobacterium*, which

have all been previously detected in the flesh of various fish species such as haddock, Atlantic salmon, gilthead sea bream, European sea bass, and yellowfin tuna (Dalgaard et al. 2006, Fogarty et al. 2019, Jääskeläinen et al. 2019, Parlapani et al. 2018a, Reynisson et al. 2010, Syropoulou et al. 2020).

The development of spoilage bacteria in fish and seafood products is well-documented, and their proliferation typically depends on the applied storage conditions (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017). Several studies have demonstrated that the diversity of such bacteria in the flesh of different fish species varies depending on conditions such as chilling, vacuum packaging, or thawing temperature (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019, Odeyemi et al. 2018, Reynisson et al. 2010, Syropoulou et al. 2021). Bacteria from the *Shewanella*, *Photobacterium*, and *Pseudomonas* genera are known for their ability to produce respectively high quantities of H_2S , trimethylamine and volatile nitrogenous compounds (Bozaris and Parlapani 2017, Carrascosa et al. 2014, Chinivasagam et al. 1998).

It should be noted that the spoilage activity of bacteria is a relatively complex mechanism that has multiple determinants. The production of spoiling metabolites is clearly species dependent and can also vary according to storage conditions such as temperature (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019, Parlapani and Bozaris 2016) and atmosphere (Emborg et al. 2005, Sivertsvik et al. 2002, Silbände et al. 2016), as well as microbial interactions between communities (Joffraud et al. 2006, Zotta et al. 2019). Various analyses such as the detection of spoilage genes and the quantification of spoilage metabolites are usually required to assess the spoilage potential of SSOs (Fu et al. 2018, Syropoulou et al. 2020, Tang et al. 2019) and these analyses would be valuable to perform in future studies.

The effect of storage conditions on the tuna bacteriome

The relative abundance and dynamics of spoilage-associated bacteria greatly varied according to the initial storage conditions (fresh vs. brine-frozen). In fresh tuna, spoilage ASVs represented on average 82% (for both organs studied) of the total number of bacterial ASVs at the end of the experiment, and less than 30% in brine-frozen samples. The influence of storage conditions on the composition of the fish microbiome has long been a subject of investigation (Ghaly 2010, Zhuang et al. 2021). For example, delayed development of spoilage microorganisms was reported in frozen fillets of Atlantic cod, mackerel, and salmon compared to fresh samples (Fagan et al. 2003, Sørensen et al. 2020). Low-temperature chilling is known to decrease the growth of microorganisms, while freezing between -18 and -30°C kills between 10% and 60% of viable bacteria (Heijnen et al. 2004, Rahman 1999). In addition, the presence of sodium chloride (e.g. in brine) is also known to inactivate autolytic enzymes in fish, as well as to negatively impact the growth of several spoilage bacteria (Ghaly 2010, Henney et al. 2010, Mejilholm et al. 2012, Turan and Erkoyuncu 2012). This may partially explain why brine-frozen yellowfin exhibited a limited abundance of spoilage bacteria in the gut and liver microbiota compared to fresh tuna.

Spoilage bacteria and histamine production in tuna

Of the spoilage-associated bacteria detected in the tuna microbiome, HPB are of particular interest, as they have been implicated in cases of food poisoning worldwide (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2016, Hungerford 2010, 2021). We identified several HPB genera in the gut and liver samples, including *Acinetobacter*, *Enter-*




Figure 3. Dynamics of histamine concentration (ppm; right abscissa) and relative abundance (left abscissa) of the main putative HPB found in the gut (A,B) and liver (C,D) of fresh (A,C) and brine-frozen (B,D) yellowfin tuna. The red horizontal bar represents the sanitary threshold of 50 ppm established by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2021).

obacter, *Morganella*, *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Vibrio*, but *Photobacterium* was the most abundant, especially in fresh fish, where it rapidly dominated the bacterial community in both organs. The genus *Photobacterium* is ubiquitous in marine environments and is composed of several species (Thyssen and Ollevier 2005). It has been described as commensal in various fish species (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2015, Estruch et al. 2015, Egerton et al. 2018, Givens et al. 2015), but some *Photobacterium* species such as *P. damsela* and *P. piscicida* are known as fish and human pathogens (Rivas et al. 2013, Romalde 2002). *Photobacterium* has also been identified as an HPB in Atlantic cod (Kuuliala et al. 2018), haddock (Reynisson et al. 2010) and Atlantic salmon (Jääskeläinen et al. 2019). Indeed, several *Photobacterium* species are able to synthesize histamine, including *P. angustum*, *P. aquimaris*, *P. kishitanii*, *P. damsela*, and *P. phosphoreum*, which are designated as high histamine producers (> 200 ppm; Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2018). While histamine-production capacity has been demonstrated to vary across different *Photobacterium* species, this capacity is also influenced by temperature (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2018, Morii and Kasama 2004, Takahashi et al. 2015). Insufficiently cold temperatures are known to favour the production of histamine, so maintaining the

cold chain is essential to prevent its formation (Hungerford 2021). Some psychrotrophic HPB, such as *P. phosphoreum* and *Morganella psychrotolerans*, are able to synthesize histamine at temperatures between 0° and 5°C (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2018, Emborg et al. 2005, Kanki et al. 2004, Wang et al. 2020). Interestingly, our results revealed the existence of positive and significant correlations between histamine concentrations and the relative abundance of bacteria belonging to the genus *Photobacterium*, both in the gut and liver of fresh tuna (see Fig. 3). Although our data did not allow us to identify the potential HPB down to species level, such correlations suggest that these *Photobacterium* taxa are probably HPB, a hypothesis that remains to be confirmed.

The vast majority of studies investigating Scombrotoxic (histamine) poisoning have been conducted on tuna flesh or in processed products such as filets or canned tuna (Emborg et al. 2005, Guizani et al. 2005, Kim et al. 2002, Kung et al. 2009, Silva et al. 2011). Our study extends this by revealing the presence of histamine and HPB in both gut and liver samples. These organs have been previously identified as important reservoirs of HPB in tuna (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2015, Gadoin et al. 2022, Taylor and Speckhard 1983), but few studies have considered the liver and

gut in their investigations on histamine formation in scombroid fish. Glória et al. (1999) observed that the intestinal wall of yellowfin tuna contained a substantial concentration of histamine. Similarly, Fernández-Salguero and Mackie (1979) reported significant histamine concentration in the liver of mackerel, in an even greater proportion than in muscle. In light of our results and the sanitary risks associated with histamine synthesis in scombroid fish, it would be very useful to characterize more precisely the activity of the potential HPB identified in tuna digestive organs.

Another key finding was that histamine was not detected in the gut or liver samples of brine-frozen tuna, despite the presence of potential HPB genera. This suggests that the brine-freezing treatment may alter the capacity of HPB to produce histamine in these two organs. Freezing has been previously observed to limit the production of this biogenic amine in tuna fillets (Tahmouzi et al. 2013). In addition, brine immersion is known to inhibit the activity of the histidine decarboxylase enzyme in HPB, and therefore, to limit the synthesis of histamine from its precursor histidine (Hwang et al. 2020, Morii and Kasama 2004, Tabanelli et al. 2012). Overall, in line with previous studies, our results confirm the usefulness of applying a brine-freezing treatment to tuna to prevent the formation of histamine, and thus reduce the health risk associated with their consumption.

Conclusion

Using a metagenomic approach, our study provides new information on the presence of spoilage microorganisms in the gut and liver of yellowfin tuna, and their modifications after the death of the fish. The results show not only that the evolution of this spoilage bacteriome was specific to each of these digestive organs, but also highly variable according to the storage conditions of the fish, with the potential risk of rapid local development of HPB. From a sanitary perspective, these metagenomic results demonstrate the importance of removing tuna viscera as soon as possible before consumption. These findings highlight the need to take into account the gut and liver in investigations on the ecology of HPB and other SSOs in scombroid fish.

Acknowledgments

The authors dedicate this article to the memory of Christelle Desnues. We thank the Montpellier University of Excellence (I-site MUSE; Project THE MOME) and the JEA MOSANE (IRD) program for their financial support. We are grateful to our partners in CRO, Aurélie Guillou and Nadège Kouadio for their assistance during sampling. We thank Justine Courboulès for drawing the tuna in the experimental design figure.

Conflicts of interest. None declared.

References

- Ahmed OM. Histamine and other biogenic amines formation in canned tuna fish inoculated with *Morganella morganii* or *Proteus mirabilis* in determining food safety during temperature abuse. *Nutr Food Toxicol* 2019;**3**:690–700.
- Alfaro B, Hernandez I. Evolution of the indigenous microbiota in modified atmosphere packaged Atlantic horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) identified by conventional and molecular methods. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2013;**167**:117–23.
- Andersson AF, Lindberg M, Jakobsson H et al. Comparative analysis of Korean human gut microbiota by barcoded pyrosequencing. *PLoS ONE* 2008;**3**:e2836.
- Antunes-Rohling A, Calero S, Halaihel N et al. Characterization of the spoilage microbiota of hake fillets packaged under a modified atmosphere (MAP) rich in CO₂ (50% CO₂/50% N₂) and stored at different temperatures. *Foods* 2019;**8**:489.
- Apprill A. Marine animal microbiomes: toward understanding host-microbiome interactions in a changing ocean. *Front Mar Sci* 2017;**4**:222.
- Beaz-Hidalgo R, Agüeria D, Latif-Eugenín F et al. Molecular characterization of *Shewanella* and *Aeromonas* isolates associated with spoilage of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2015;**362**:1–8.
- Bekaert K, Devriese L, Maes S et al. Characterization of the dominant bacterial communities during storage of Norway lobster and Norway lobster tails (*Nephrops norvegicus*) based on 16S rDNA analysis by PCR-DGGE. *Food Microbiol* 2015;**46**:132–8.
- Benbow ME, Receveur JP, Lamberti GA. Death and decomposition in aquatic ecosystems. *Front Ecol Evol* 2020;**8**:17.
- Bjørkevoll I, Olsen RL, Skjerdal OT. Origin and spoilage potential of the microbiota dominating genus *Psychrobacter* in sterile rehydrated salt-cured and dried salt-cured cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Int J Food Microbiol* 2003;**84**:175–87.
- Bjornsdottir-Butler K, Abraham A, Harper A et al. Biogenic amine production by and phylogenetic analysis of 23 *Photobacterium* species. *J Food Prot* 2018;**81**:1264–74.
- Bjornsdottir-Butler K, Bowers JC, Benner RA. Prevalence and characterization of high histamine-producing bacteria in Gulf of Mexico fish species. *J Food Prot* 2015;**78**:1335–42.
- Bjornsdottir-Butler K, McCarthy SA, Dunlap PV et al. *Photobacterium angustum* and *Photobacterium kishitanii*, psychrotrophic high-level histamine-producing bacteria indigenous to tuna. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;**82**:2167–76.
- Bozari IS, Parlapani FF. Specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) in fish. In: *The Microbiological Quality of Food*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2017, 61–98.
- Broekaert K, Nosedá B, Heyndrickx M et al. Volatile compounds associated with *Psychrobacter* spp. and *Pseudoalteromonas* spp., the dominant microbiota of brown shrimp (*Crangon crangon*) during aerobic storage. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2013;**166**:487–93.
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ et al. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* 2016;**13**:581.
- Carrascosa C, Millán R, Saavedra P et al. Predictive models for bacterial growth in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) stored in ice. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 2014;**49**:354–63.
- Chiarello M, Auguet J-C, Bettarel Y et al. Skin microbiome of coral reef fish is highly variable and driven by host phylogeny and diet. *Microbiome* 2018;**6**:147.
- Chiarello M, Paz-Vinas I, Veyssiére C et al. Environmental conditions and neutral processes shape the skin microbiome of European catfish (*Silurus glanis*) populations of southwestern France. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2019;**11**:605–14.
- Chiarello M, Villéger S, Bouvier C et al. High diversity of skin-associated bacterial communities of marine fishes is promoted by their high variability among body parts, individuals and species. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2015;**91**:fiv061.
- Chinivasagam HN, Bremner HA, Wood AF et al. Volatile components associated with bacterial spoilage of tropical prawns. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1998;**42**:45–55.

- Chytiri S, Chouliara I, Savvaidis IN et al. Microbiological, chemical and sensory assessment of iced whole and filleted aquacultured rainbow trout. *Food Microbiol* 2004;**21**:157–65.
- Cordero H, Guardiola FA, Tapia-Paniagua ST et al. Modulation of immunity and gut microbiota after dietary administration of alginate encapsulated *Shewanella putrefaciens* pdp11 to gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2015;**45**:608–18.
- Dalgaard P, Madsen HL, Samieian N et al. Biogenic amine formation and microbial spoilage in chilled garfish (*Belone belone belone*) - effect of modified atmosphere packaging and previous frozen storage. *J Appl Microbiol* 2006;**101**:80–95.
- Dawson P, Al-Jeddawi W, Remington N. Effect of freezing on the shelf life of salmon. *Int J Food Sci* 2018;**2018**:1–12.
- Dhanasiri AKS, Brunvold L, Brinchmann MF et al. Changes in the intestinal microbiota of wild Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* L. Upon captive rearing. *Microb Ecol* 2011;**61**:20–30.
- Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of r functions for community ecology. *J Veg Sci* 2003;**14**:927–30.
- Duan S, Zhou X, Miao J et al. Succession of bacterial microbiota in tilapia fillets at 4°C and in situ investigation of spoilers. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2018;**34**:69.
- Duarte AM, Silva F, Pinto FR et al. Quality assessment of chilled and frozen fish—mini review. *Foods* 2020;**9**:1739.
- Economou V, Brett MM, Papadopoulou C et al. Changes in histamine and microbiological analyses in fresh and frozen tuna muscle during temperature abuse. *Food Addit Contam* 2007;**24**:820–32.
- Egerton S, Culloty S, Whooley J et al. The gut microbiota of marine fish. *Front Microbiol* 2018;**9**:873.
- Eliasson S, Arason S, Margeirsson B et al. The effects of superchilling on shelf-life and quality indicators of whole Atlantic cod and fillets. *LWT* 2019;**100**:426–34.
- Emborg J, Laursen BG, Dalgaard P. Significant histamine formation in tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) at 2°C—effect of vacuum- and modified atmosphere-packaging on psychrotolerant bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2005;**101**:263–79.
- Emborg J, Laursen BG, Rathjen T et al. Microbial spoilage and formation of biogenic amines in fresh and thawed modified atmosphere-packed salmon (*Salmo salar*) at 2°C. *J Appl Microbiol* 2002;**92**:790–9.
- Estruch G, Collado MC, Peñaranda DS et al. Impact of fishmeal replacement in diets for gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) on the gastrointestinal microbiota determined by pyrosequencing the 16S rRNA gene. *PLoS ONE* 2015;**10**:e0136389.
- Fagan JD, Ronan Gormley T, Mhuircheartaigh MU. Effect of freeze-chilling, in comparison with fresh, chilling and freezing, on some quality parameters of raw whiting, mackerel and salmon portions. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2003;**36**:647–55.
- FAO. *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture* 2020. Rome, 2020.
- FDA. Appendix 5: FDA and EPA Safety Levels in Regulations and Guidance, 2021.
- Fernandez-Piquer J, Bowman J p, Ross T et al. Molecular analysis of the bacterial communities in the live Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) and the influence of postharvest temperature on its structure. *J Appl Microbiol* 2012;**112**:1134–43.
- Fernández-Salguero J, Mackie IM. Histidine metabolism in mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*). Studies on histidine decarboxylase activity and histamine formation during storage of flesh and liver under sterile and non-sterile conditions. *J Food Sci Technol* 1979;**14**:131–9.
- Fogarty C, Whyte P, Brunton N et al. Spoilage indicator bacteria in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) stored on ice for 10 days. *Food Microbiol* 2019;**77**:38–42.
- Fu L, Wang C, Liu N et al. Quorum sensing system-regulated genes affect the spoilage potential of *Shewanella baltica*. *Food Res Int* 2018;**107**:1–9.
- Gadoin E, Desnues C, Orbcaster ER et al. Fishing for the microbiome of tropical tuna. *Microb Ecol* 2022;**84**:1–14.
- Gadoin E, Durand L, Guillou A et al. Does the composition of the gut bacteriome change during the growth of tuna?. *Microorganisms* 2021;**9**:1157.
- Gancel F, Dzierzinski F, Tailliez R. Identification and characterization of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from fillets of vacuum-packed smoked and salted herring (*Clupea harengus*). *J Appl Microbiol* 1997;**82**:722–8.
- Ge Y, Zhu J, Ye X et al. Spoilage potential characterization of *Shewanella* and *Pseudomonas* isolated from spoiled large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*). *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2017;**64**:86–93.
- Ghaly AE. Fish spoilage mechanisms and preservation techniques: review. *Am J Appl Sci* 2010;**7**:859–77.
- Ghanbari M, Kneifel W, Domig KJ. A new view of the fish gut microbiome: advances from next-generation sequencing. *Aquaculture* 2015;**448**:464–75.
- Givens C, Ransom B, Bano N et al. Comparison of the gut microbiomes of 12 bony fish and 3 shark species. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 2015;**518**:209–23.
- Glória MBA, Daeschel MA, Craven C et al. Histamine and other biogenic amines in albacore tuna. *J Aquat Food Prod Technol* 1999;**8**:55–69.
- Gram L, Dalgaard P. Fish spoilage bacteria – problems and solutions. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2002;**13**:262–6.
- Gram L, Huss HH. Microbiological spoilage of fish and fish products. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1996;**33**:121–37.
- Guizani N, Al-Busaidy MA, Al-Belushi IM et al. The effect of storage temperature on histamine production and the freshness of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). *Food Res Int* 2005;**38**:215–22.
- Hansen GH, Olafsen JA. Bacterial interactions in early life stages of marine cold water fish. *Microb Ecol* 1999;**38**:1–26.
- Heijnen C, Boogaard BV, Berkel BM. *Preservation of Fish and Meat*. Wageningen: Agrodok, 2004.
- Henney JE, Taylor CL, Boon CS et al. Preservation and physical property roles of sodium in foods. In: *Strategies to Reduce Sodium Intake in the United States*. Washington: National Academies Press 2010.
- Houicher A, Kuley E, Bendeddouche B et al. Histamine and tyramine production by bacteria isolated from spoiled sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*). *Afr J Biotechnol* 2013;**12**:3288–95.
- Hovda MB, Lunestad BT, Sivertsvik M et al. Characterisation of the bacterial flora of modified atmosphere packaged farmed Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) by PCR-DGGE of conserved 16S rRNA gene regions. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007;**117**:68–75.
- Hozbor MC, Saiz AI, Yeannes MI et al. Microbiological changes and its correlation with quality indices during aerobic iced storage of sea salmon (*Pseudoperca semifasciata*). *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2006;**39**:99–104.
- Hungerford JM. Histamine and scombrototoxins. *Toxicon* 2021;**201**:115–26.
- Hungerford JM. Scombroid poisoning: a review. *Toxicon* 2010;**56**:231–43.
- Hwang C-C, Lee Y-C, Huang C-Y et al. Effect of brine concentrations on the bacteriological and chemical quality and histamine content of brined and dried milkfish. *Foods* 2020;**9**:1597.
- Indergård E, Tolstorebrov I, Larsen H et al. The influence of long-term storage, temperature and type of packaging materials on the quality characteristics of frozen farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Int J Refrig* 2014;**41**:27–36.

- Jääskeläinen E, Jakobsen LMA, Hultman J et al. Metabolomics and bacterial diversity of packaged yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and salmon (*Salmo salar*) show fish species-specific spoilage development during chilled storage. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2019;**293**:44–52.
- Jakobsen A, Shumilina E, Lied H et al. Growth and spoilage metabolites production of a mesophilic *Aeromonas salmonicida* strain in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) during cold storage in modified atmosphere. *J Appl Microbiol* 2020;**129**:935–46.
- Joffraud J-J, Cardinal M, Cornet J et al. Effect of bacterial interactions on the spoilage of cold-smoked salmon. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2006;**112**:51–61.
- Jørgensen LV, Huss HH, Dalgaard P. The effect of biogenic amine production by single bacterial cultures and metabiosis on cold-smoked salmon. *J Appl Microbiol* 2000;**89**:920–34.
- Kanki M, Yoda T, Ishibashi M et al. *Photobacterium phosphoreum* caused a histamine fish poisoning incident. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2004;**92**:79–87.
- Kim SH, Price RJ, Morrissey MT et al. Histamine production by *Morganella morganii* in mackerel, albacore, Mahi-mahi, and salmon at various storage temperatures. *J Food Sci* 2002;**67**:1522–8.
- Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T et al. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:e1.
- Kung H-F, Wang T-Y, Huang Y-R et al. Isolation and identification of histamine-forming bacteria in tuna sandwiches. *Food Control* 2009;**20**:1013–7.
- Kuuliala L, Al Hage Y, Ioannidis A-G et al. Microbiological, chemical and sensory spoilage analysis of raw Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stored under modified atmospheres. *Food Microbiol* 2018;**70**:232–44.
- Larsen A, Tao Z, Bullard SA et al. Diversity of the skin microbiota of fishes: evidence for host species specificity. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2013;**85**:483–94.
- Li Q, Zhang L, Luo Y. Changes in microbial communities and quality attributes of white muscle and dark muscle from common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) during chilled and freeze-chilled storage. *Food Microbiol* 2018;**73**:237–44.
- López-Caballero ME, Sánchez-Fernández JA, Moral A. Growth and metabolic activity of *Shewanella putrefaciens* maintained under different CO₂ and O₂ concentrations. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2001;**64**:277–87.
- Lyhs U, Korkeala H, Björkroth J. Identification of lactic acid bacteria from spoiled, vacuum-packaged 'gravad' rainbow trout using ribotyping. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2002;**72**:147–53.
- Lyhs U, Korkeala H, Vandamme P et al. *Lactobacillus alimentarius*: a specific spoilage organism in marinated herring. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2001;**64**:355–60.
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**:e61217.
- Macé S, Cardinal M, Jaffrès E et al. Evaluation of the spoilage potential of bacteria isolated from spoiled cooked whole tropical shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) stored under modified atmosphere packaging. *Food Microbiol* 2014;**40**:9–17.
- Macé S, Cornet J, Chevalier F et al. Characterisation of the spoilage microbiota in raw salmon (*Salmo salar*) steaks stored under vacuum or modified atmosphere packaging combining conventional methods and PCR-TTGE. *Food Microbiol* 2012;**30**:164–72.
- Madigan TL, Bott NJ, Torok VA et al. A microbial spoilage profile of half shell Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) and Sydney rock oysters (*Saccostrea glomerata*). *Food Microbiol* 2014;**38**:219–27.
- Mahusain NAS, Bayoi F, Karim NU et al. *J Sustain Sci Manag* 2017;**3**:38–46.
- Mejlholm O, Devitt TD, Dalgaard P. Effect of brine marination on survival and growth of spoilage and pathogenic bacteria during processing and subsequent storage of ready-to-eat shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*). *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012;**157**:16–27.
- Meron D, Davidovich N, Ofek-Lalzar M et al. Specific pathogens and microbial abundance within liver and kidney tissues of wild marine fish from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Microb Biotechnol* 2020;**13**:770–80.
- Mori H, Kasama K. Activity of two histidine decarboxylases from *Photobacterium phosphoreum* at different temperatures, pHs, and NaCl concentrations. *J Food Prot* 2004;**67**:1736–42.
- Odeyemi OA, Burke CM, Bolch CCJ et al. Seafood spoilage microbiota and associated volatile organic compounds at different storage temperatures and packaging conditions. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2018;**280**:87–99.
- Olofsson T, Ahmé S, Molin G. The bacterial flora of vacuum-packed cold-smoked salmon stored at 7°C, identified by direct 16S rRNA gene analysis and pure culture technique. *J Appl Microbiol* 2007;**103**:109–19.
- Parata L, Nielsen S, Xing X et al. Age, gut location and diet impact the gut microbiome of a tropical herbivorous surgeonfish. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2019;**96**:fiz179.
- Parlapani FF. Microbial diversity of seafood. *Current Opinion in Food Science* 2021;**37**:45–5.
- Parlapani FF, Boziaris IS. Monitoring of spoilage and determination of microbial communities based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of whole sea bream stored at various temperatures. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2016;**66**:553–9.
- Parlapani FF, Ferrocino I, Michailidou S et al. Microbiota and volatolome profile of fresh and chill-stored deepwater rose shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*). *Food Res Int* 2020;**132**:109057.
- Parlapani FF, Meziti A, Kormas KAr et al. Indigenous and spoilage microbiota of farmed sea bream stored in ice identified by phenotypic and 16S rRNA gene analysis. *Food Microbiol* 2013;**33**:85–9.
- Parlapani FF, Michailidou S, Anagnostopoulos DA et al. Bacterial communities and potential spoilage markers of whole blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) stored under commercial simulated conditions. *Food Microbiol* 2019;**82**:325–33.
- Parlapani FF, Michailidou S, Anagnostopoulos DA et al. Microbial spoilage investigation of thawed common cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) stored at 2°C using next generation sequencing and volatolome analysis. *Food Microbiol* 2018a;**76**:518–25.
- Parlapani FF, Michailidou S, Pasentsis K et al. A meta-barcoding approach to assess and compare the storage temperature-dependent bacterial diversity of gilt-head sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) originating from fish farms from two geographically distinct areas of Greece. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2018b;**278**:36–43.
- Parlapani FF, Verdos GI, Haroutounian SA et al. The dynamics of *Pseudomonas* and volatolome during the spoilage of gutted sea bream stored at 2°C. *Food Control* 2015;**55**:257–65.
- Perreault NN, Andersen DT, Pollard WH et al. Characterization of the prokaryotic diversity in cold saline perennial springs of the Canadian High Arctic. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007;**73**:1532–43.
- Quanyou G, Baoguo L, Chaojun J. Shelf life prediction and bacterial flora for the fresh and lightly salted *Pseudosciaena crocea* stored at different temperatures. *Emir J Food Agric* 2018;**1**:39–48.
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:D590–6.
- Rahman SF. Food preservation by freezing. In: *Handbook of Food Preservation*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1999.

- Reynisson E, Lauzon HL, Thorvaldsson L et al. Effects of different cooling techniques on bacterial succession and other spoilage indicators during storage of whole, gutted haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*). *Eur Food Res Technol* 2010;**231**:237–46.
- Rivas AJ, Lemos ML, Osorio CR. *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *damsela*, a bacterium pathogenic for marine animals and humans. *Front Microbiol* 2013;**4**:283.
- Romalde JL. *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *piscicida*: an integrated view of a bacterial fish pathogen. *Int Microbiol* 2002;**5**:3–9.
- Ross AA, Rodrigues Hoffmann A, Neufeld JD. The skin microbiome of vertebrates. *Microbiome* 2019;**7**:79.
- Sehnal L, Brammer-Robbins E, Wormington AM et al. Microbiome composition and function in aquatic vertebrates: small organisms making big impacts on aquatic animal health. *Front Microbiol* 2021;**12**:358.
- Silbande A, Adenet S, Smith-Ravin J et al. Quality assessment of ice-stored tropical yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and influence of vacuum and modified atmosphere packaging. *Food Microbiol* 2016;**60**:62–72.
- Silva CCG, Ponte DJB, Dapkevicius MLNE. Storage temperature effect on histamine formation in big eye tuna and skipjack. *J Food Sci* 1998;**63**:644–7.
- Silva TM, Sabaini PS, Evangelista WP et al. Occurrence of histamine in Brazilian fresh and canned tuna. *Food Control* 2011;**22**:323–7.
- Sivertsvik M, Jeksrud WK, Rosnes JT. A review of modified atmosphere packaging of fish and fishery products - significance of microbial growth, activities and safety. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 2002;**37**:107–27.
- Sørensen JS, Ørnfeld-Jensen O, Bøknæs N et al. Thawed and chilled Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) from Greenland - options for improved distribution. *LWT* 2020;**131**:109473.
- Syropoulou F, Parlapani FF, Bosmali I et al. HRM and 16S rRNA gene sequencing reveal the cultivable microbiota of the European Sea bass during ice storage. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2020;**327**:108658.
- Syropoulou F, Parlapani FF, Kakasis S et al. Primary processing and storage affect the dominant microbiota of fresh and chill-stored sea bass products. *Foods* 2021;**10**:671.
- Tabanelli G, Torriani S, Rossi F et al. Effect of chemico-physical parameters on the histidine decarboxylase (HdcA) enzymatic activity in *Streptococcus thermophilus* PRI60. *J Food Sci* 2012;**77**:M231–7.
- Tahmouzi S, Ghasemlou M, Aliabadi FS et al. Histamine formation and bacteriological quality in skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*): effect of defrosting temperature. *J Food Process Preserv* 2013;**37**:306–13.
- Takahashi H, Ogai M, Miya S et al. Effects of environmental factors on histamine production in the psychrophilic histamine-producing bacterium *Photobacterium iliopiscarium*. *Food Control* 2015;**52**:39–42.
- Taliadourou D, Papadopoulos V, Domvridou E et al. Microbiological, chemical and sensory changes of whole and filleted Mediterranean aquacultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) stored in ice. *J Sci Food Agric* 2003;**83**:1373–9.
- Tang R, Zhu J, Feng L et al. Characterization of LuxI/LuxR and their regulation involved in biofilm formation and stress resistance in fish spoilers *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2019;**297**:60–71.
- Taylor SL, Speckhard MW. Isolation of histamine-producing bacteria from frozen tuna. *Marine Fisheries Review* 1983;**45**:35–9.
- Thyssen A, Ollevier F. Genus II. *Photobacterium*. In: *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. 2nd edn, New York: Springer, 2005, 546–52.
- Tortorella V, Masciari P, Pezzi M et al. Histamine poisoning from ingestion of fish or scombroid syndrome. *Case Rep Emer Med* 2014;**2014**:1–4.
- Tryfinopoulou P, Tsakalidou E, Nychas G-JE. Characterization of *pseudomonas* spp. associated with spoilage of gilt-head sea bream stored under various conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;**68**:65–72.
- Tryfinopoulou P, Tsakalidou E, Vancanneyt M et al. Diversity of *Shewanella* population in fish *Sparus aurata* harvested in the Aegean Sea. *J Appl Microbiol* 2007;**103**:711–21.
- Turan H, Erkoyuncu İ. Salting technology in fish processing. In: *Progress in Food Preservation*. Hoboken: Wiley, 2012, 297–313.
- Wang D, Yamaki S, Kawai Y et al. Histamine production behaviors of a psychrotolerant histamine-producer, *Morganella psychrotolerans*, in various environmental conditions. *Curr Microbiol* 2020;**77**:460–7.
- Wang H, Liu X, Zhang Y et al. Spoilage potential of three different bacteria isolated from spoiled grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) fillets during storage at 4°C. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2017;**81**:10–7.
- Wang Y, Shen Y, Wu Y et al. Comparison of the microbial community and flavor compounds in fermented mandarin fish (*Siniperca chuatsi*): three typical types of Chinese fermented mandarin fish products. *Food Res Int* 2021;**144**:110365.
- Xavier R, Pereira A, Pagan A et al. The effects of environment and ontogeny on the skin microbiome of two stegastes damselfishes (*Pomacentridae*) from the eastern Caribbean Sea. *Mar Biol* 2020;**167**:1–12.
- Xie J, Zhang Z, Yang S-P et al. Study on the spoilage potential of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on salmon stored at different temperatures. *J Food Sci Technol* 2018;**55**:217–25.
- Yazgan H, Ozogul Y, Kuley E. Antimicrobial influence of nanoemulsified lemon essential oil and pure lemon essential oil on food-borne pathogens and fish spoilage bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2019;**306**:108266.
- Zhang J, Li Y, Liu X et al. Characterization of the microbial composition and quality of lightly salted grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) fillets with vacuum or modified atmosphere packaging. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2019;**293**:87–93.
- Zhuang S, Hong H, Zhang L et al. Spoilage-related microbiota in fish and crustaceans during storage: research progress and future trends. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf* 2021;**20**:252–88.
- Zotta T, Parente E, Ianniello RG et al. Dynamics of bacterial communities and interaction networks in thawed fish fillets during chilled storage in air. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2019;**293**:102–13.